ROUTING							
TO): NAME AND ADDRESS			DATE		INITIALS	
1	D/OCA						
2							
3							
4							
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	\top	PREPAR		RE REPLY	
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	Т	RECOM		MENDATION	
	COMMENT	FILE		RETURN		N	
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	INFORMATION SIGNAT		URE		
REMARKS:							
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS, AND PHONE NO. DATE						DATE	
	ER 7E12	Hqs 26613					

_	SECKEL		
OCA FILE_	(Security Classification)		
REGPT #_	Record		

CONTROL NO. _____ER SC5166X 86 ______

Handle Via

COMINT

Channels

Access to this document will be restricted to

those approved for the following specific activities:						
		e)				



Warning Notice Intelligence Sources and Methods Involved

NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION

Unauthorized Disclosure Subject to Criminal Sanctions



(Security Classification)

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/03/18: CIA-RDP90B01390R000100080025-4



DISSEMINATION CONTROL ABBREVIATIONS

NOFORN-

Not Releasable to Foreign Nationals

NOCONTRACT-

Not Releasable to Contractors or Contractor/Consultants

PROPIN-

Caution-Proprietary Information Involved

USIBONLY-

USIB Departments Only

ORCON-

Dissemination and Extraction of Information

Controlled by Originator

REL . . .-

This Information has been Authorized for

Release to . . .



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755

Serial: J-423-86 20 August 1986

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

SUBJECT: Possible Investigation of Former Commerce Official for Unauthorized Disclosure -INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

l. This responds to your letter of 6 August 1986	25X1
2. This view is based on the following considerations:	25X1
- There is no evidence that any SIGINT was disclosed to any unauthorized person. - The GAO reported to the Congress the results of	
their investigation of the apparent leak. During their investigation, cleared GAO people reviewed the SIGINT with us and concluded that there was no evidence of a SIGINT leak. In response to a specific question by the Chairman of the House Government Operations Subcommittee on Commerce, Consumer, and Monetary Affairs, the GAO said they had no evidence that Mr. Lenahan had leaked any information.	
Transfer that the Benanan had reaked any Information.	25X1
- The GAO referred the matter of possible conflict	

- The GAO referred the matter of possible conflict of interest on the part of Lenahan to the DoJ, but had no basis for referral of the unauthorized disclosure issue.

WILLIAM E. ODOM

Lieutanant General, USA

Director

ER SC5166X 86

Copy <u>9</u> of <u>10</u>

CLASSIFIED BY: DCI Letter, 6 August 1986

HANDLE VIA COMINT CHANNELS ONLY

SECRET